

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/698,260	10/30/2000	Tusyoshi Kawabe	500.39242X00	6660
24956 7	7590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			HANNE, SARA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
•	·.		DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 10/0; s)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/698,260	KAWABE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sara M. Hanne	2179		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 2,31 and 35 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,31 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Example 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 21.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-132)		

Application/Control Number: 09/698,260

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This action is responsive to the amendment received on March 30, 2006. Claims

2, 31 and 35 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 2, 31, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merrill et al., US Patent 6369821, hereinafter Merrill, and further in view of Yamada et al., US Patent 6319121, hereinafter Yamada.

.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,260

Art Unit: 2179

As in Claims 31 and 35, Merrill teaches a method and apparatus including a CG animation generating unit coupled to a display unit for generating an image (Fig. 1, and corresponding text), a recording unit for recording an image generated in the CG animation generating unit (Figure 12. Scripting Engine 506 and corresponding text), a control unit for controlling the display, CG animation generating unit and the recording unit, the control unit includes the steps of designating a computer graphics object in a moving image (Col. 2, lines 7-45) displayed on a display unit ("right-clicking the mouse button while the cursor is positioned over the character", Col. 24, line 67 – Col. 25, line 1), displaying a command list which shows a plurality of commands relating to the designated CG object at the time of designating the CG object (Col. 26, lines 20-40), the command list arranged in the order of time when the commands were produced (que, Col. 2, line 20 et seg.) designating and executing each command relating to the CG object, thereby causing an editing operation on the moving image to be conducted (Col. 26, lines 20 et seq.). While Merrill teaches designating a CG object, displaying a list of commands arranged in order of time they were produced and executing the command list on the CG object, they fail to explicitly teach designating the CG object while moving or pausing as recited in the claims. In the same field of the invention, Yamada teaches a CG object editing system similar to that of Merrill. In addition, Yamada further teaches designating the CG object while moving or pausing (Col. 4, line 11 et seq.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Merrill and Yamada before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the designating a CG object, displaying a list of commands arranged in order of time they were produced and

Art Unit: 2179

executing the command list on the CG object taught by Merrill to include the designating the CG object while moving or pausing of Yamada, in order to obtain designating a moving or paused image, displaying a list of commands arranged in order of time they were produced and executing the command list. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because a real time editing system for CG characters would have been obtained, as taught by Yamada.

As in Claims 2, Merrill teaches displaying modification, change, addition and deletion on display, selecting one of modification, change, addition and deletion ("Add, Insert, Remove, RemoveAll", Col. 26, line 60 et seq.), displaying third commands comprised of setting items including camera, superimposition, sound, mixer, narration and studio set-up by selecting one of modification, change, addition and deletion, and designating one of the third commands in a display window and executing the designated command for the predetermined CG object (Col. 26, line 60 et seq).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 31 and 35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar computer generated editing interfaces.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara M. Hanne whose telephone number is (571) 272-4135. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm, off on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WEILUN LO can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smh